WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

(Subsidy Rules and WTO Jurisprudence)

Shailja Singh Assistant Professor Centre for WTO Studies IIFT

SCM Agreement: context



Subsides...

- Very sensitive matter in international trade relations
 - On one hand, subsidies evidently used by governments to pursue legitimate objective of economic and social policy.
 - On the other hand, subsidies may have adverse effects on the interests of trading partners, whose industries may suffer from unfair competition
- Subsidies: can distort trade flows if they give an artificial competitive advantage to exporters or import competing industries.
- Example of subsidies: aid to the poor, aid for technological development, special aids for education, aid to disadvantaged groups and regions etc.

COVERAGE OF THE SCM AGREEMENT

The SCM Agreement regulates:

- Subsidisation by WTO Members
- Use of countervailing measures

Structure of the SCM Agreement: Two Tracks







Different rules!

SCM Agreement: Subsidies

- Traffic light approach
- Subsidies are put into various baskets/categories:
 - i. Red ("prohibited")
 - ii. Yellow or amber ("actionable")
 - iii. Green ("non-actionable")

Broad Scheme of SCM Agreement

- Uruguay Round Subsidies Text is extensive and detailed
 - Part I General Provisions (definition and specificity)
 - Part II Prohibited subsidies (red light)
 - Part III Actionable subsidies (yellow or amber light)
 - Part IV Non-Actionable subsidies (green light)
 - Part V Countervailing duty measures

Subsidy Defined

- **Three key** elements when examining whether a programme, scheme, etc. constitutes a subsidy are:
 - i. Financial contribution / income or price support
 - ii. By a Government or any public body
 - iii. Which confers benefit
- If any of the three elements is missing, then the programme, scheme, etc. is **NOT** a subsidy under SCM Agreement.

Coverage of the SCM Agreement



Elements of a Subsidy



Financial Contribution

- **Direct transfer of funds** (grants, loans, equity infusions)
- **Potential direct transfer** of funds or liabilities (loan guarantee)
- Government revenue, that is **otherwise due if foregone or not collected** (tax credits, import duty exemption)
- **Provision of goods or services** other than general infrastructure
- Purchase of goods

By a Government or any Public Body

- Financial contribution granted by <u>a</u> Govt. (e.g. Federal, Regional or Municipal Govt.) <u>OR</u> by a public body (e.g. National Bank, National Power Company, etc.)
- Within the territory of a Member
 Or
 Government entrusts or directs a private body to make the financial contribution

Concept of Benefit

- **Benefit** = advantage (to recipient), <u>not</u> cost to Govt:
 - "Whether the financial contribution places the recipient in a more advantageous position than would have been the case, but for the financial contribution"

• **Basis for comparison** = Market place:

 Is the financial contribution "provided on terms which are more advantageous than those that would have been available to the recipient on the market"

Concept of Benefit (Contd.)

- **Govt. equity infusions** do not confer a benefit unless: "the investment decision can be regarded as <u>inconsistent with the usual investment</u> <u>practice (including ...risk capital) of private</u> <u>investors</u> in the territory of that Member"
- **Govt. loans** do not confer a benefit unless: "there is a difference between the amount that the firm receiving the loan pays on the Govt. loan and the amount the firm would pay on a <u>comparable commercial loan which the firm</u> <u>could actually obtain on the market</u>."

Concept of Benefit (Contd.)

- **Govt. loan guarantees** do not confer a benefit unless: "there is a difference between the amount the firm receiving the guarantee pays on a loan guaranteed by the Govt. and the amount the firm would pay on <u>comparable commercial</u> <u>loan</u> absent the Govt. guarantee.
- **Govt. provision of goods or services** does not confer a benefit unless for <u>less</u> than adequate remuneration based on <u>prevailing market</u> <u>conditions</u>

Concept of Benefit (Contd.)

• **Govt. purchase of goods** does not confer a benefit unless: for <u>more</u> than adequate remuneration based on <u>prevailing market</u> <u>conditions.</u>

Footnote 1 - Important Exemption

- Exemption of an exported product
- From duties or taxes borne by a like product destined for domestic consumption
- Not deemed a subsidy

Types of Specificity

- Enterprise specific
- Industry specific
- Group of enterprises or industries
- Region specific
- Prohibited subsidies are **deemed** to be specific
- Setting or change of generally applicable tax rates by all levels of govts. entitled to do so are not specific subsidy

Types of Specificity (Contd.)

- Specificity will not exist where granting authority or legislation concerned establishes objective criteria or conditions for extending the subsidy- these criteria should be neutral, economic in nature and horizontal in application
- Two broad categories:
 - *i.* <u>*De-jure* specific subsidies</u>
 - *ii. <u>De-facto</u>* specific subsidies

Specific Subsidies - <u>de-jure</u>

- A subsidy is de-jure specific if
 - ➤Access to the subsidy <u>explicitly</u> limited to certain enterprises. If access is limited based on objective criteria then it would not be a specific subsidy
- To be determined with reference to the jurisdiction of the granting authority

<u>De-facto</u> Specificity (Art. 2.1(c))

- Notwithstanding any appearance of nonspecificity, the subsidy may <u>in fact</u> be specific. Following factors may be considered:
 - Use by a limited number of enterprises
 Predominant use by certain enterprises
 Granting of disproportionately large amounts to certain enterprises
 - Manner in which discretion has been exercised

Specificity : Implications

- For Members as providers of subsidies:
 - Specific subsidies are subject to the rules/disciplines under Article 4 and 7 of the SCM Agreement, in case of prohibited and actionable subsidies, respectively (under the MULTILATERAL TRACK); and can be countervailed (Part V) (under the NATIONAL TRACK)
 - Must notify specific subsidies to the SCM Committee
- For Members affected by others' subsidies:
 - Can challenge (MULTILATERAL TRACK) or countervail (NATIONAL TRACK) other Members' specific subsidies

Subsidies - Categorization: Recap

- i. Prohibited Subsidy
- ii. Actionable Subsidy
- iii. Non-actionable Subsidy

Subsidy Types: Prohibited Subsidy

- Certain subsidies are regarded as outright trade distortive – hence prohibited
- These are:
 - i. Export subsidies subsidies that are contingent on export performance , except as provided in the Agreement on Agriculture
 - **ii. Import substitution subsidies** contingent on use of domestic over imported goods

Export Subsidy

- Subsidies contingent, in law or in fact, whether wholly or as one of several other conditions, upon export performance are called export subsidies
- Examples (set out in Annex-I):
 - provision of goods or services for use in the production of exported goods in terms more favorable than those for the production of goods for domestic consumption;
 - export related exemption, remission or deferral of direct taxes; excess exemption, remission, or deferral of indirect taxes or import duties;
 - provision of export credit guarantee or insurance programmes at premium rates which are inadequate to cover the operating costs and losses of the programmes

Export Subsidy: Permissible Duty Exemptions

- Exemption or remission of indirect taxes on export products-Footnote 1
- Remission, Exemption & Deferral (RED) of prior stage cumulative <u>indirect taxes</u> on inputs <u>used</u> in production of the exported product provided this does not exceed corresponding RED on inputs used in the production of domestically sold like products – item (h), Annex I
- RED on prior stage cumulative <u>indirect taxes</u> on inputs <u>consumed in</u> production of the exported product. To be interpreted in accordance with guidelines in Annex II – item (h), Annex I
- Remission or drawback of <u>import charges</u> on imported inputs <u>consumed</u> in the production of the exported product. Substitution drawback schemes are permitted in accordance with guidelines in Annex III – item (h), Annex I

Conditions for RED of Cumulative Indirect Taxes

- Inputs must have been consumed in the production process
 - Physically incorporated inputsEnergy, fuel, oil and catalysts
- There must be a reasonable and effective verification system in place to confirm which inputs are consumed and in what amounts.

Remedy against Prohibited Subsidy

- Remedy through DSU
- It can be challenged in WTO on the basis of special accelerated procedures
- Complaining Member not obliged to show trade effects as these are regarded as trade distorting subsidies
- Defaulting member required to withdraw the subsidy without delay or face counter measures

Special and Differential Provisions

- No derogation for import substitution subsidies except for fixed transition periods which is already over
- For "Annex VII countries" i.e. LDCs and 21 listed developing countries whose GNP per capita is below \$1000 per annum, prohibition on export subsidies not applicable - India one of them (others include Bolivia, Egypt, Indonesia, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka etc.)
- Other developing countries to phase out export subsidy in a 8 year period

Export Competitiveness

- Articles 27.5 and 27.6
- If an Annex VII developing country's export of a specific product has reached "export competitiveness" i.e.
- A share of at least 3.25% in world trade (of that product)
- For two consecutive years

That Annex VII developing country must phase out its export subsidies for such products over a period of 8 years

Subsidy Types: Actionable Subsidy

- Subsidy is actionable if:
 - It is **specific**
 - Causes adverse effects (injury, serious prejudice, nullification and impairment)

Adverse Effects - examples

• **Serious prejudice** – effect of subsidy is:

- Imports displaces or impeded in the market of the subsidizing member
- Exports displaces or impeded in third country market
- Significant price undertaking, price suppression, price depression or lost sales of another member
- Increase in world market share of the subsidizing country
- Serious prejudice claim cannot be invoked against developing country Members

Serious Prejudice

Serious Prejudice **deemed to exist** (Art 6.1) where:

- Total ad valorem subsidization of a product exceeds 5%;
- ii. Subsidies cover operating losses sustained by an industry;
- iii. Subsidies to cover operating losses sustained by an enterprise – exception: one-time measures which are non-recurrent and given to develop long term solutions and to avoid acute social problem;
- iv. Direct forgivenenss of debt

These provisions lapsed in 1999

Nullification or Impairment

- This arises where the improved access to a market that is presumed to flow from a bound tariff reduction is undercut by subsidization in that market
- This can serve as a basis for a complaint related to harm to a Member's exporting interests in an importing country market

Types of Injury

- There are three types of injury:
- i. Current material injury;
- ii. Threat of material injury;
- iii. Material retardation of the establishment of a domestic industry

Current Material Injury

- Its determination is to be based on positive evidence
- There should be objective examination of both the volume of subsidized imports and the effect of these imports on prices in the domestic market for like product
- Consequent impact of such imports on the domestic producers of such products

Threat of Material Injury

- It must be based on facts and not merely on possibility
- Factors to be considered are :
 - Nature of subsidy and trade effects likely to arise therefrom;
 - Significant increase of subsidized imports;
 - Sufficient freely disposable capacity or
 - An imminent substantial increase in capacity of the exporter, indicating likelihood of substantially increased subsidized exports

Material Retardation of the Establishment of a Domestic Industry

• The agreement is silent regarding criteria for evaluation of material retardation of the establishment of a domestic industry

Actionable Subsidy - Remedy

- Action and DSU panel process in all cases and through countervailing duty investigation for imports:
 - Serious prejudice and nullification or impairment can be challenged at the multilateral level only
- Remedy:
 - Removal of adverse effects of the subsidy or
 - Withdrawal of subsidy or
 - Imposition of countervailing duty on imports

Subsidy Type: Non-actionable Subsidy

- No action can be taken against subsidies that are nonspecific – determined on the basis of:
 - Criteria are neutral, economic in nature and horizontal in application
 - > No predominant use by certain enterprises
 - Eligibility based on objective criteria or conditions
 - Eligibility automatic, criteria strictly adhered to
- Up to 1999 a specific subsidy given for R&D assistance, to disadvantaged regions and for environmental purposes were non-actionable. Now lapsed

Remedies

- Subsidy that causes injury can be challenged at two levels:
 - i. Unilateral level through countervailing action;
 - ii. Multilateral level through the WTO's Dispute Settlement Mechanism
- Countervailing action can be taken only where there is injury
- Serious prejudice and nullification or impairment can be challenged at the multilateral level only

Countervailing Measures

- SCM Agreement contains detailed rules regarding initiation and conduct of investigations, imposition of preliminary and final measures and the duration of measures
- These rules are meant to ensure that investigations are conducted in a transparent manner, all parties have full opportunity to defend their interests, and investigating authorities explain the basis of their determination
- Most of procedural rules are similar to those applied for Anti-Dumping Agreement

Special & Differential Treatment

- De minimis if overall subsidy level by a DC does not exceed 2% of the value of the product, countervailing investigation to be terminated immediately
- De minimis of 3% for Annex VII Members and DCs which have eliminated their export subsidies before the end of the 8-year transition period
- For other Members, *De mimimis* level is 1%

Special & Differential Treatment (contd.)

- If volume of subsidized imports from a DC is less than 4% of the total imports of the like product in the importing Member, countervailing investigation has to be terminated
- The cut-off percentage is 9% where collective imports from more than one DC is under investigation and share of each DC is less than 4%

